JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

Bill Number: 6479 SB	Title: Guardians/Train and Monitor			Agency: 055 – Admin Office of the Courts (AOC)			
Part I: Estimates					, ,		
□ No Fiscal Impact							
Estimated Cash Receipts to:							
	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017	'-19	2019-21	2021-23	
Tatal							
Total:							
Estimated Expenditures from							
STATE	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017	<u>'-19</u>	2019-21	2021-23	
FTE – Staff Years							
Account							
General Fund – State (001-1)							
State Subtotal							
COUNTY							
County FTE Staff Years							
Account							
Local - Counties							
Counties Subtotal							
CITY							
City FTE Staff Years							
Account							
Local – Cities							
Cities Subtotal							
Local Subtotal							
Total Estimated							
Expenditures:							
The revenue and expenditure esting Responsibility for expenditures matcheck applicable boxes and follow	ay be subject i	to the provision	ns of RC		•		
☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$ complete entire fiscal note form pa		cal year in the	current	bienniu	ım or in subse	quent biennia	
☑ If fiscal impact is less than \$50, complete this page only (Part I).	000 per fiscal	year in the cu	rrent bie	nnium	or in subseque	ent biennia,	
☐ Capital budget impact, complete	e Part IV.						
		Dhan					

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Renée Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4012	Date: 1/29/2018
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would require the department of social and health services to convene an advisory group to develop a model program to monitor guardians appointed under RCW 11.88. It would also require the development of an in-person training program for use by lay guardians.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

New sections would be added to RCW 11.88 that would require the department of social and health services to convene an advisory group to develop a model program to monitor guardians appointed under RCW 11.88. It would also require the development of an in-person training program for use by lay guardians across the state.

A staff person from administrative office of the courts would be a member of the advisory group. It is assumed this would not be a significant time commitment and would therefore be completed within existing resources.

Because the legislature, through RCW 2.72, created the Office of Public Guardianship within the Administrative Office of the Courts, the Supreme Court promulgated General Rule 23 regarding certified professional guardians and Superior Courts appoint and monitor professional guardians it is assumed that the model program and the in-person training program will be housed and managed at the Administrative Office of the Courts. However, program and FTE costs cannot be determined until the programs have been developed and vetted. A funding request from the Administrative Office of the Courts will be submitted to the legislature upon completion of the program plans.

II.B - Cash Receipt Impact

No cash receipt impact.

II.C – Expenditures